

philosophy here that allows this sort of thing to happen. Every amendment that was offered here today was worthy, it was viable, there wasn't one thing wrong with any of them. They just did a little bit for my people and a little less for US Ecology and probably for the compact. I'm not going to go so far as to suggest that we defeat 827. I think I'm going to support it, but I think it sure needs a lot of help and I wish that you'd help us help it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Does anyone else wish to speak to this bill at this time? Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: No one else? Thank you, Madam Chair, members, I'm really intrigued. I just wonder how long you guys can hang on. These six amendments, I know, I feel bad about delaying the next bill which, Senator Rasmussen's bill, but I know as soon as we move this bill then we're going to adjourn so it's not going to get heard today anyway, and I still have these six bills, six amendments here and, I mean, I don't think this is just kind of a bad bill, it's something that can be worked out because it has been proven we can't work it out. Very logical amendments, can't convince anyone they're right other than the good old 16 or 17 people that have been hanging there and paying attention for the constituents in their districts, and I appreciate that. Maybe I can just keep filing these amendments and we can go until there aren't enough people to vote on it and maybe we'll take a vote and then it won't move and it will be dropped, because I think it's that bad, I really do, I really do. I'm not kidding. I'm not doing this to delay the process. If you remember, some of my amendments were to speed up the process. The amendment on the, if you remember, the amendment on the sociocultural study. US Ecology did a bogus one and I wanted to make sure we took it into consideration and made sure we took those people's, the effect this facility had on these people into consideration and I got beat there. I wanted to make sure that the people up there had a little protection, that we found out what the potential effects would be, but I got beat. I brought up the cubic feet question, agreed that we did it for a reason of importation and then we found out other concerns and I brought up those concerns and I was the only one concerned other than the good old 13 or 14 other people that were paying attention. And I brought up the Supreme Court appeal. It seems I was right there. We did make some changes. I still don't think we need to give the Supreme Court appeal, but it was obvious we were treating the